



# Space-Adiabatic Theory for Random-Landau Hamiltonian: Results and Prospects

Giuseppe de Nittis

## ► To cite this version:

Giuseppe de Nittis. Space-Adiabatic Theory for Random-Landau Hamiltonian: Results and Prospects. Microlocal Methods in Mathematical Physics and Global Analysis, Springer, pp.11-14, 2013, Trends in Mathematics, 978-3-0348-0465-3. 10.1007/978-3-0348-0466-0 . hal-00796715

**HAL Id: hal-00796715**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00796715>**

Submitted on 4 Mar 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Space-adiabatic theory for random-Landau Hamiltonian: results and prospects

Giuseppe De Nittis

The study of the (integer) *Quantum Hall Effect* (QHE) requires a careful analysis of the spectral properties of the  $2D$ , single-electron Hamiltonian

$$(0.1) \quad H_{\Gamma,B} := (-i\partial_x - B y)^2 + (-i\partial_y + B x)^2 + V_{\Gamma}(x, y)$$

where  $H_B := H_{\Gamma,B} - V_{\Gamma}$  is the usual *Landau Hamiltonian* (in symmetric gauge) with *magnetic field*  $B$  and  $V_{\Gamma}$  is a  $\Gamma \equiv \mathbb{Z}^2$  *periodic potential* which models the electronic interaction with a crystalline structure. Under usual conditions (e.g.,  $V_{\Gamma} \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ) the Hamiltonian (0.1) is self-adjoint on a suitable domain of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . A direct analysis of the fine spectral properties of (0.1) is extremely difficult and one needs resorting to simpler effective models hoping to capture (some of) the main physical features in suitable physical regimes.

**Weak magnetic field limit.** The regime  $B \ll 1$  is very interesting since it is easily accessible to experiments. The common lore, (cf. works of R. Peierls, P. G. Harper and D. Hofstadter), says that the “local description” of the spectrum of (0.1) is “well approximated” by the spectrum of the (effective) *Hofstadter model*

$$(0.2) \quad (H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)} \xi)_{n,m} := e_B^m \xi_{n+1,m} + \overline{e_B^m} \xi_{n-1,m} + \overline{e_B^n} \xi_{n,m+1} + e_B^n \xi_{n,m-1}$$

with  $\{\xi_{n,m}\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  and  $e_B^m := e^{i2\pi m B}$ .

The above discussion leads to the following questions:

- Q.1) In what mathematical sense are  $\text{Spec}(H_{\Gamma,B})$  and  $\text{Spec}(H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)})$  “locally equivalent”?
- Q.2) What is the relation between the “effective” dynamics induced by  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)}$  and the “true” dynamics induced by  $H_{\Gamma,B}$ ?

A third question concerns the rôle of the disorder in the explanation of the QHE. Indeed, the introduction of a *random potential*  $V_{\omega}$  (e.g., an *Anderson potential*) in (0.1), leading to

$$(0.3) \quad H_{\Gamma,B,\omega} := H_{\Gamma,B} + V_{\omega},$$

---

The author would like to thank F. Klopp for many stimulating discussions. Project supported by the grant ANR-08-BLAN-0261-01.

is essential in order to explain the emergence of the quantum Hall plateaus. Then:

- Q.3) In presence of disorder is it still possible to derive a “simplified” (i.e., effective) model for  $H_{\Gamma,B,\omega}$  which encodes the (main) spectral and dynamical properties of the original model?

In order to answer questions Q.1) and Q.2) one needs to prove the so-called *Peierls substitution*. This is an old problem which dates back to the works of J. Bellissard [1] and B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand [6]. However, these works provide only a partial answer to Q.1) (*local isospectrality*) and no answer for Q.2). A complete solution has been given only recently by the author and M. Lein in [3]. In this paper a strong version of the Peierls substitution has been derived by means of a joint application of the *Space-adiabatic perturbation theory* (SAPT) developed by G. Panati, H. Spohn and S. Teufel [8] and the *magnetic Weyl quantization* developed by M. Măntoiu and R. Purice [7]. The main result derived in [3] can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 0.1.** *Assume the existence of a  $S \subset \text{Spec}(H_{\Gamma,B=0})$  separated from the rest of the spectrum  $\text{Spec}(H_{\Gamma,B=0}) \setminus S$  by gaps<sup>1</sup>. Then:*

- (i) *Associated to  $S$  there exists an orthogonal projection  $\Pi_B$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(0.4) \quad \|[H_{\Gamma,B}; \Pi_B]\| \leq C_N B^N \quad \text{if} \quad B \rightarrow 0$$

*where  $C_N > 0$  are suitable constants. The space  $\text{Ran } \Pi_B \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is called almost-invariant subspace.*

- (ii) *There exists a reference Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_r$  ( $B$ -independent), an effective (bounded) operator  $H_B^{\text{eff}}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_r$  and a unitary operator  $U_B : \text{Ran } \Pi_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_r$  such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(0.5) \quad \|(e^{itH_{\Gamma,B}} - U_B^{-1} e^{itH_B^{\text{eff}}} U_B) \Pi_B\| \leq C_N B^N |t| \quad \text{if} \quad B \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) *If  $S$  corresponds to a single Bloch energy band  $E_*$  for the periodic operator  $H_{\Gamma,B=0}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_r \equiv \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ . Moreover if the dispersion law for  $E_*$  can be approximated as  $E_*(k_1, k_2) = 2 \cos(k_1) + 2 \cos(k_2) + Bf(k_1, k_2)$ , with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  the Bloch momenta, then*

$$(0.6) \quad H_B^{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)} + \mathcal{O}(B) \quad \text{if} \quad B \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 0.1 implies the following answers for Q.1) and Q.2):  $\Pi_B H_{\Gamma,B} \Pi_B$  and  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)}$  are unitarily equivalent up to an error which goes to zero if  $B \rightarrow 0$  (*asymptotic unitary equivalence*); the dynamics generated by  $H_{\text{Hof}}^{(B)}$  approximates the dynamics generated by  $\Pi_B H_{\Gamma,B} \Pi_B$  up to a small error over any macroscopic time-scale  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Question Q.3) suggests to combine SAPT-techniques with the randomness induced by  $V_\omega$ . However, one of the main ingredients of SAPT is the separation in

---

<sup>1</sup>This assumption can be relaxed by introducing the notion of *adiabatically decoupled energy subspace*, cf. [8] or [3].

fast and slow degrees of freedom induced by the periodic structure of  $H_{\Gamma, B=0}$ . This separation (mathematically highlighted by a Bloch-Floquet transform) identifies the fast part of the dynamics with the one inside the fundamental cell of  $\Gamma$ . The slow part is related to the motion at the boundary of adjacent cells and is controlled by the slow variation of the Bloch momenta induced by the weak, but non-zero, magnetic field  $B \ll 1$ . In order to include  $V_\omega$  in this schema, one needs to assume that the randomness perturbs the periodic structure on a scale larger than the typical length of the crystal and which becomes larger and larger when  $B \rightarrow 0$ . In other words SAPT-techniques are compatible only with  $B$ -dependent random potentials of type

$$(0.7) \quad V_{\omega, B}(x, y) := w_\omega(B^{-1}x, B^{-1}y)$$

where  $w_\omega$  are suitable random variables. In order to overcome the quite unphysical restriction (0.7) one has to replace the usual Bloch-Floquet transform with some more general tool able to take care of the loss of the translation symmetry. An hint in this direction is provided by the Bellissard's idea of replacing the Bloch-Floquet decomposition with the algebraic notion of *non-commutative Brillouin zone* (i.e. crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra) [2].

**Strong magnetic field limit.** The opposite regime of a strong magnetic field  $B \gg 1$  (accessible to experiments by means of optical lattices) is mathematically easier to treat. In this regime, the dominant terms for the “renormalized” Hamiltonian  $B^{-1} H_{\Gamma, B}$  turns out to be a harmonic oscillator which fixes the energy threshold (Landau level). Under the assumption  $V_\Gamma(x, y) \simeq 2 \cos(x) + 2 \cos(y)$ , the first relevant term for the asymptotic description of the spectral properties of (0.1) is given by the (effective) *Harper model*

$$(0.8) \quad (H_{\text{Har}}^{(B)} \xi)(s) := \xi(s + B^{-1}) + \xi(s - B^{-1}) + 2 \cos(2\pi s) \xi(s), \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

The effective operator (0.8) was firstly derived by M. Wilkinson (1987). However, a rigorous (asymptotic) unitary derivation of  $H_{\text{Har}}^{(B)}$  from  $H_{\Gamma, B}$ , in the spirit of the Theorem 0.1, has been established only recently in [4]. Moreover, the proof can be easily extended to the case of a random potential  $V_\omega$  generalizing a perturbative technique developed in [5].

## References

- [1] J. V. Bellissard.  $C^*$ -algebras in solid state physics: 2D electrons in uniform magnetic field. In: *Operator Algebras and Applications Vol. 2: Mathematical Physics and Subfactors*, E. Evans et al. editors, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series vol. 136*, Cambridge University Press, 49–76 (1989).
- [2] J. V. Bellissard, H. Schulz-Baldes, A. van Elst. The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect. *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 5373–5471 (1994).
- [3] G. De Nittis, M. Lein. Applications of Magnetic  $\Psi$ -DO Techniques to Space-adiabatic Perturbation Theory. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **23** (3), 233–260 (2011).
- [4] G. De Nittis, G. Panati. Effective models for conductance in magnetic fields: derivation of Harper and Hofstadter models. arXiv:1007.4786v1 [math-ph].

- [5] A. Eckstein. Unitary reduction for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with strong magnetic field. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **282**, (2009).
- [6] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand. Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper. In *Schrödinger Operators (Proceedings of the Nordic Summer School in Mathematics, Sandbjerg Slot, Denmark, 1988), Lecture Notes in Physics*, vol. 345, Springer, 118–197 (1989).
- [7] M. Măntoiu, R. Purice. The Magnetic Weyl Calculus *J. Math. Phys.* **45**(4), 233–260 (2004).
- [8] G. Panati, H. Spohn, S. Teufel. Effective dynamics for Bloch electrons: Peierls substitution and beyond. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **242**, 547–578 (2003).

LAGA - UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13 - INSTITUT GALILÉE, 93430 VILLETANEUSE, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* `denittis@math.univ-paris13.fr`